

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/895,577	NAIK ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
MICHAEL J. MOORE JR	2619	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Condition for Allowance

(1) MICHAEL J. MOORE JR. (3) _____.

(2) Arnold M. de Guzman (Reg. No. 39,955). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 February 2008

Time: 4:30pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 1, 7, and 9 were discussed.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The amendments made to claims 1, 7, and 9 in the after-final response were discussed with Mr. de Guzman. Specifically, the added limitations to these claims were recited in the alternative only, such that the prior art of record was still applicable if the other claimed alternative was given weight as opposed to the further limited alternative. Examiner suggested that the alternative language be deleted such that the added limitations may be given patentable weight. Applicant agreed and these changes are provided in the attached Examiner's Amendment.